Case 1:07-cv-05779-RJH

Document 17

Filed 01/07/2008

Page 1 of 3

Bennett, Giuliano, McDonnell & Perrone, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Sinoriches Global Limited 494 Eighth Avenue, 7th Floor New York, New York 10001 Telephone: (646) 329-0120 Facsimile: (646) 328-0121

William R. Bennett, III (WB 1383)

wbennett@bgmplaw.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SINORICHES GLOBAL LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

- against -

NEW OCEAN SHIPPING CO., LTD., a/k/a NEW OCEAN INTERNATIONAL SHIPPING CO. LTD., MULTILOGISTICS SRL, and ARO STEEL SRL,

USDC SDNY
OOCUMENT
RELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: // 7/08
The second secon

07-CIV-5779 (RJI+)

AMENDED
EX PARTE ORDER FOR
PROCESS OF MARITIME
ATTACHMENT AND
APPOINTMENT TO
SERVE PROCESS

Defendants.

Richard J. Holwell, District Judge:

On December 21, 2007, Plaintiff filed an Amended Verified Complaint seeking damages of \$706,962.00 arising from Defendants' breach of a maritime contract commonly known as a charter party and breach of workmanships performance when discharging cargo. That same day, Plaintiff also moved for the Court to issue, ex parte, Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment ("Process") under Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty Claims. The Process would command the U.S. Marshal or other designated process server to attach any and all of the Defendants' property within this District, up to the amount of Plaintiff's claim under the charterparty. The Court has reviewed the Amended Verified

Plaintiff's claim arises with the particularity required by Rule E(2)(a). From the complaint it is unclear whether plaintiff is a party to the maritime contract in which ARO allegally agreed to be responsible for demoracy (compl. PP 27), and if plaintiff was not a party to the contract, on what basis plaintiff may enforce it.

Complaint and the supporting affidavit of William R. Bennett, Ill, and finds that the requirements of Rule B appear to be satisfied. as to defendant s New Ocean Shipping Co. Ltd. and Multilogistics SRL only."

Plaintiff also sought to appoint Mr. Bennett, or any other person appointed by Bennett, Giuliano, McDonnell & Perrone, LLP, counsel for Plaintiff, to serve Process in this matter. The Court has reviewed Mr. Bennett's affidavit and found that the appointment would result in substantial economics in time and expense, and signed an Order of Appointment.

Accordingly, it is hereby

order Edition and Maritime Claims shall issue against Defendants' assets in this district consisting of cash, funds, freight, and hire credits in the hands of BNP Baribas, Fortis Bank, JP Morgan Chase, Deutsche bank, HSBC (USA) Bank, Doha Bank, American Express Bank, Citibank, and/or other New York City banks and financial institutions, in an amount up to and including \$706,962.00. Subsequent supplemental service may be made by fax or email on the garnishing banks and financial institutions. It is further

ORDERED that any person claiming an interest in the property attached or garnished pursuant to this order shall, upon application to the Court, be entitled to a prompt hearing at which the Plaintiff shall be required to show cause why the attachment and garnishment should not be vacated or other relief granted. It is further

ORDERED that supplemental process enforcing this Order may be issued by the Clerk upon application without further order of the Court. It is further

ORDERED that a copy of this Order be attached to and served with the Process.

Dated: 17/08 New York, New York

SO ORDERED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Z \Documents\All Files\D670 MV Micro\Pleadings\ExParteOrder-122707